

This alternative proof that a concave function is continuous on the relative interior of its domain first shows that it is bounded on small open sets, then from boundedness and concavity, derives continuity.

Theorem 1. *If $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave, $C \subset \mathbb{R}^\ell$ convex with non-empty interior, then f is continuous on $\text{int}(C)$.*

Three lemmas deliver the proof. Throughout, we maintain the assumptions that $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave, that C is convex, and that it has non-empty interior. We first show that a concave f is bounded below on small balls around any point in the interior.

Lemma 1. *For all $\mathbf{x}_o \in \text{int}(C)$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_o)) \geq r$.*

Proof. Pick $\epsilon' > 0$ such that $B_{2\epsilon'}(\mathbf{x}_o) \subset C$. Consider the $2 \cdot \ell$ points $S = \{\mathbf{x}_o \pm \epsilon' \mathbf{e}_i : i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}\}$ where \mathbf{e}_i is the unit vector in the i 'th direction. By concavity, any $\mathbf{y} \in \text{co}(S)$ is a convex combination of the $\mathbf{x} \in S$, therefore $f(\mathbf{y}) \geq \sum \alpha_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) \geq \min\{f(\mathbf{x}) : \mathbf{x} \in S\}$. Now pick $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_o) \subset \text{co}(S)$. \square

We now show that f is bounded on small balls around any point in the interior.

Lemma 2. *For $B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_o) \subset C$, if $f(B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_o)) \geq m$, then $|f(B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_o))| \leq |m| + 2f(\mathbf{x}_o)$.*

Proof. Translate $B_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}_o)$ by subtracting \mathbf{x}_o , i.e. suppose that $\mathbf{x}_o = 0$. For any $\mathbf{y} \in B_\epsilon(0)$, $f(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(\mathbf{y}) + \frac{1}{2}f(-\mathbf{y})$, so that

$$\frac{1}{2}f(\mathbf{y}) \leq f(0) - \frac{1}{2}f(-\mathbf{y}), \text{ or } f(\mathbf{y}) \leq 2f(0) - f(-\mathbf{y}). \quad (1)$$

Now $2f(0) - f(-\mathbf{y}) \leq 2f(0) - m \leq 2|f(0)| + |m|$ and $m \leq f(\mathbf{y})$, we have $m \leq f(\mathbf{y}) \leq 2|f(0)| + |m|$, so in particular, $|f(\mathbf{y})| \leq 2|f(0)| + |m|$. \square

We now show that f is Lipschitz continuous on small neighborhoods.

Lemma 3. *For $B_r(\mathbf{x}_o) \subset C$ and B its closure, if $|f(B)| \leq M$, then for any $\epsilon \in (0, r)$, f is $(\frac{2M}{\epsilon})$ -Lipschitz on $B_{(r-\epsilon)}(\mathbf{x}_o)$.*

Proof. Pick $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y} \in B_{(r-\epsilon)}(\mathbf{x}_o)$. We want to show that $|f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{2M}{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|$.

Consider the point $\mathbf{z} := \mathbf{y} + \frac{\epsilon}{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$ that belongs to B , and note that \mathbf{y} is between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{z} , specifically, $\mathbf{y} = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + \|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}\mathbf{x} + \frac{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}{\epsilon + \|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}\mathbf{z}$. By concavity, $f(\mathbf{y}) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + \|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{\|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}{\epsilon + \|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}\|}f(\mathbf{z})$, hence $(\epsilon + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|)f(\mathbf{y}) \geq \epsilon f(\mathbf{x}) + \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|f(\mathbf{z})$, or

$$\epsilon(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})) \leq \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|(f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{z})). \quad (2)$$

Now $(f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{z})) \leq 2M$, hence $(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})) \leq \frac{2M}{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|$. Interchanging the names/roles of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , $(f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})) \leq \frac{2M}{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|$. Combining, $|f(\mathbf{y}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \leq \frac{2M}{\epsilon} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|$. \square